

## **OHDM Peer Reviewer's Checklist for Review and in my/our Opinion Papers**

### **General**

1. Does the paper conform to the published guidelines for authors submitting papers to the journal/
2. Is it on an important or significant topic?
3. Does the study add to the existing knowledge-base?

### **Presentation**

4. Does the title accurately reflect the content of the paper?
5. Does the paper have a logical construction?
6. Does the length of the paper need adjusting (too long or short)?
7. Is the paper written in a clear and easily understandable style?
8. Is the paper free of grammatical or typographical errors?

### **Abstract**

9. Is there an abstract that conforms to the journal's published guidelines for authors?
10. Does the abstract present an accurate synopsis of the paper?

### **Introduction**

11. Is the introduction appropriate to the paper's subject?
12. Is the literature review, relevant and is it comprehensive?

### **Discussion**

13. Does the discussion comprehensively discuss the papers reviewed (review paper) or significance of points raised (in my opinion paper).

### **Conclusions**

14. Do the conclusions accurately reflect the previous content of the paper?
15. Are the conclusions clearly set out?

### **Acknowledgements**

16. Is there a statement of conflict of interest?
17. If relevant, is there a statement of exactly how each author contributed to the paper?

### **References**

18. Is the paper fully referenced, are the references accurate, up to date and relevant?